



ARTICLE

Received 16 Apr 2015 | Accepted 21 Mar 2016 | Published 27 Apr 2016

DOI: [10.1038/ncomms11375](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11375)

OPEN

Identification of four novel susceptibility loci for oestrogen receptor negative breast cancer

Fergus J. Couch *et al.*[#]

Common variants in 94 loci have been associated with breast cancer including 15 loci with genome-wide significant associations ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with oestrogen receptor (ER)-negative breast cancer and *BRCA1*-associated breast cancer risk. In this study, to identify new ER-negative susceptibility loci, we performed a meta-analysis of 11 genome-wide association studies (GWAS) consisting of 4,939 ER-negative cases and 14,352 controls, combined with 7,333 ER-negative cases and 42,468 controls and 15,252 *BRCA1* mutation carriers genotyped on the iCOGS array. We identify four previously unidentified loci including two loci at 13q22 near *KLF5*, a 2p23.2 locus near *WDR43* and a 2q33 locus near *PPIL3* that display genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative breast cancer. In addition, 19 known breast cancer risk loci have genome-wide significant associations and 40 had moderate associations ($P < 0.05$) with ER-negative disease. Using functional and eQTL studies we implicate *TRMT61B* and *WDR43* at 2p23.2 and *PPIL3* at 2q33 in ER-negative breast cancer aetiology. All ER-negative loci combined account for $\sim 11\%$ of familial relative risk for ER-negative disease and may contribute to improved ER-negative and *BRCA1* breast cancer risk prediction.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.C. (email: couch.fergus@mayo.edu).

[#]A full list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper.

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease that can be separated into clinical subtypes based on tumour histological markers, such as the oestrogen receptor (ER). ER-negative disease accounts for 20–30% of all breast cancers, is more common in women diagnosed at young age and in women of African ancestry¹, and is associated with worse short-term outcome than ER-positive disease. ER-negative and ER-positive breast cancer also exhibit different patterns of genetic susceptibility². Currently, 94 loci containing common breast cancer risk-associated variants have been associated with breast cancer through genome-wide association studies (GWAS), and large replication studies^{3–18}. However, only 14 loci have shown genome-wide significant associations ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with ER-negative disease^{3,17–20}. While this partly reflects the smaller sample size for ER-negative disease, the majority of the known breast cancer loci show differences in relative risk by subtype. In particular, 6 of the 14 loci associated with ER-negative disease at genome-wide significance show no evidence of association with ER-positive disease²⁰. The alleles associated with ER-negative breast cancer^{3,17} at these loci have also been associated with breast cancer risk in *BRCA1* mutation carriers^{21,22}, consistent with the finding that the majority of breast tumours arising in *BRCA1* mutation carriers show low/absent expression of ER^{23–25}. These observations suggest that a meta-analysis of results from ER-negative breast cancer and *BRCA1* breast cancer association studies could identify additional ER-negative susceptibility loci that were not found previously because of limited sample size.

In this study, we carried out a meta-analysis of breast cancer GWAS studies and found four new loci associated with developing ER-negative breast cancer.

Results

Associations with ER-negative breast cancer. Genotype data for this meta-analysis were obtained from three sources: (1) 11 breast cancer GWAS included 5,139 ER-negative breast cancer cases and 14,352 controls (Supplementary Table 1); (2) The Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC) included 7,333 ER-negative breast cancer cases and 42,468 study-matched controls genotyped

on the iCOGS (Collaborative Oncological Gene-environment Study) custom array³; (3) The Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of *BRCA1/2* (CIMBA)²⁶ included 15,252 *BRCA1* mutation carriers (7,797 with breast cancer and 7,455 unaffected) genotyped on the iCOGS array (Supplementary Tables 2–4). Imputation was performed using the 1000 Genomes project as a reference^{20,27}, and a meta-analysis was performed based on 10,909,381 common single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that passed quality control (Supplementary Table 1).

We first considered SNPs in 94 regions in which genome-wide significant associations for breast cancer had been identified (Methods)²⁰. In 55 of these, the SNP most significantly associated with overall breast cancer risk was significantly associated ($P < 0.05$) with ER-negative breast cancer in the meta-analysis. Four more were associated with ER-negative breast cancer in the general population ($P < 0.05$) but not in the meta-analysis, and 15 displayed genome-wide significant ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) associations with ER-negative breast cancer (Supplementary Table 5). In addition, new SNPs in three loci (rs10864459 from 1p36.2 *PEX14*, rs11903787 from *INHBB* and rs4980383 from 11p15.5 *LSP1*) were found to have genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative disease (Table 1, Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 5). Likewise, SNPs in the *TCF7L2* locus previously associated with *BRCA1* breast cancer²² and ER-positive breast cancer^{3,20} showed genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative breast cancer (Table 1). Interestingly multiple independent signals in several loci were associated with ER-negative breast cancer. In particular, three independent regions in the *TERT* locus²⁸, two regions in *PTHLH*, and two regions in *ESR1* displayed genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative breast cancer (Table 1). Furthermore, while previous studies established genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative disease for rs11075995 in one 16q12.2 *FTO* locus¹⁷, rs17817449 ($r^2 = 0.035$) from a second *FTO* locus located 40 kb proximal to the rs11075995 tagged locus¹⁷ also displayed near-genome-wide significance ($P = 5.26 \times 10^{-8}$) with ER-negative breast cancer in the meta-analysis (Table 1). In addition to the breast cancer loci established in studies of European women, three additional breast cancer risk loci were recently identified in GWAS of Asian women. To generalize the results to other populations, associations between the three SNPs and breast cancer in the European, African American and Asian populations in the iCOGS study were evaluated. SNP rs2290203 showed only weak evidence of association ($P = 0.02$), and rs4951011 and rs10474352 SNPs showed no evidence of association with ER-negative breast cancer in the white European meta-analysis (Supplementary Table 6).

Among the 94 known risk loci from white European and three from Asian populations, only 24 contained SNPs with some evidence of association ($P < 0.05$) with breast cancer risk among *BRCA1* mutation carriers alone. These included 21 loci based on known index SNPs (Supplementary Table 5) along with new SNPs from the meta-analysis in the *PEX14* (rs10864459), *INHBB* (rs11903787) and *PTHLH* (rs7297051) loci (Table 1). Only the *ESR1* (rs2046210), *TERT* (rs2242652) and two 19p13.1 (rs8170; rs56069439) loci had genome-wide significant associations with breast cancer risk for *BRCA1* mutation carriers alone (Table 1, Supplementary Table 5). However, 15 of the 19 risk loci that reached genome-wide significance for ER-negative disease in the meta-analysis showed some evidence of association ($P < 0.05$) with breast cancer risk for *BRCA1* mutation carriers using a retrospective likelihood analysis¹². These SNPs had hazard ratio (HR) estimates in *BRCA1* carriers that were similar to the odds ratio (OR) estimates for ER-negative breast cancer (Table 1). In contrast, four SNPs in the *LGR6*, *2p24.1*, *ZNF365* and *FTO* loci had HR estimates ranging from 0.97 to 1.01 and were not




Figure 1 | Manhattan plot of ER-negative breast cancer meta-analysis.

The Manhattan plot displays the strength of genetic association ($-\log_{10} P$) versus chromosomal position (Mb), where each dot presents a genotyped or imputed (black circle) SNP. The black horizontal line represents the threshold for genome-wide significance ($P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$).




Figure 2 | Novel ER-negative breast cancer loci. The chromosomal position and strength of genetic association ($-\log_{10} P$) is shown for all SNPs ($P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$) in BCAC/iCOGS data in the four novel risk loci. **(a).** 2p23 locus. The most significant SNP (rs67073037) is shown as a diamond. **(b).** 13q22 loci. The most significant SNP (rs6562760) is shown as a diamond. The second locus is shown in black. **(c).** 2q33 locus. The most significant SNPs (rs188686860; rs115635831) are shown as diamonds.

significantly associated ($P > 0.05$) with breast cancer risk for *BRCA1* mutation carriers. No significant interactions between the known risk SNPs were observed when pairwise interactions were evaluated separately in the general population (BCAC-iCOGS) or in *BRCA1* carriers after adjusting for multiple testing.

Genome-wide associations with ER-negative breast cancer.

Novel genome-wide significant associations ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) were detected with imputed and genotyped SNPs on chromosomes 2p23.2 and 13q22 (Table 2, Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 1). At 2p23.2, 79 SNPs exhibited genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative breast cancer (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 7). The most significant genotyped and imputed SNPs at these two loci were rs4577244 ($P = 1.0 \times 10^{-8}$) and rs67073037 ($P = 4.76 \times 10^{-9}$), respectively (Table 2). To investigate the presence of independent signals at the 2p23.2 locus, conditional analyses were conducted adjusting for the lead SNP. However, no significant ($P < 0.05$) associations were observed at 2p23.2 after adjusting for rs67073037. In the 13q22 locus, rs6562760 was the most strongly associated ($P = 5.0 \times 10^{-10}$) SNP among 12 genome-wide significant SNPs (Table 2, Supplementary Table 8, Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 1). Conditional analysis adjusting for rs6562760 yielded several SNPs with residual associations for

ER-negative breast cancer, with rs17181761 ($r^2 = 0.51$) as the most significantly associated ($P = 6.0 \times 10^{-6}$) (Supplementary Table 9). No associations at $P < 10^{-4}$ remained after conditioning on both rs6562760 and rs17181761. Thus, 13q22 appears to contain two independent ER-negative risk loci.

When considering only the data from the general population using the BCAC-iCOGS studies, no association between rs67073037 at 2p23.2 and ER-positive breast cancer was observed (Supplementary Table 10). Consistent with this observation, a significant difference ($P_{\text{diff}} = 4.45 \times 10^{-6}$) in the per-allele ORs for ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer was detected. In contrast, rs17181761 at 13q22 was weakly associated with ER-positive breast cancer (OR = 1.03; $P = 0.030$), but more strongly associated with ER-negative breast cancer (OR = 1.08; $P_{\text{diff}} = 5.82 \times 10^{-3}$; Supplementary Table 10). Likewise, rs6562760 at 13q22 was more strongly associated with ER-negative than ER-positive breast cancer (ER-positive OR = 0.98 versus ER-negative OR = 0.92; $P_{\text{diff}} = 0.028$) (Supplementary Table 10). Among ER-negative cases, no significant differences in the ORs for triple negative (ER-negative, progesterone receptor negative, HER2 negative) and non-triple-negative cases was observed (rs67073037, $P_{\text{diff}} = 0.26$; rs6562760, $P_{\text{diff}} = 0.36$; rs17181761, $P_{\text{diff}} = 0.69$). Q-tests were used to assess heterogeneity. These results suggest that the three risk loci are largely




Figure 3 | The chromatin landscape of locus 2p23.2. (a) The SNP rs4407214 is included in a genomic tile overlapping chromatin features indicative of promoters and enhancers, shaded red. (b,c). Luciferase assays showing activity in the tile containing SNP rs4407214 (highlighted in pink in a.) in MCF10A and CAL51, red box plots indicate significantly different from the control tile ($P < 0.0001$). Brown box plot indicates significant difference from the reference allele ($P = 0.0059$). (d) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) showing the formation of allele-specific complexes for rs4407214. M, major allele; m, minor allele. Lines 1, 2, 7, 8—no nuclear extract. Lines 3, 4, 5, 6—10 μ g of MCF10A nuclear extract. Lines 9, 10, 11, 12—10 μ g of CAL51 nuclear extract. Shift detected by comparison to bands (arrows #1 and #2).

specific to ER-negative but not triple-negative breast cancer, in contrast to loci in the *MDM4*, *LGR6*, 19p13.1 and *TERT* regions^{3,17}. To also investigate the impact of bilateral disease on the associations with ER-negative breast cancer in the general population, analyses were performed separately for BBCS alone, which oversampled for bilateral cases, and after exclusion of BBCS. The risk estimates for each SNP (both in iCOGS and in the meta-analysis), after excluding BBCS, did not differ from the main results (Supplementary Table 11), and do not appear to be substantially influenced by bilateral cases.

Using the retrospective likelihood approach, index SNPs in the three 2p23.2 and 13q22 loci were all associated with *BRCA1* breast cancer (rs67073037, $P = 4.58 \times 10^{-4}$; rs6562760, $P = 2.85 \times 10^{-6}$; rs17181761, $P = 9.29 \times 10^{-3}$; Table 2). There were no significant differences in the associations with ER-positive and ER-negative disease among *BRCA1* carriers (Supplementary Table 12). A competing risks analysis in *BRCA1* mutation carriers that accounted for simultaneous associations with breast and ovarian cancer risks

found similar HR estimates for breast cancer and no evidence of association with ovarian cancer risk (Supplementary Table 13). None of the SNPs were associated with overall breast cancer risk for *BRCA2* mutation carriers (Supplementary Table 10). There was also no significant evidence of heterogeneity ($P < 0.05$) between the effect estimates for *BRCA1* mutation carriers and ER-negative breast cancer in the general population (BCAC-iCOGS; Intraclass Correlation)²⁷. Finally, no significant interactions between the three index SNPs and any of the 94 previously known loci were observed in *BRCA1* carriers or in the general population after adjusting for multiple testing (Supplementary Table 14).

Association with ER-negative breast cancer in the 2q33 locus. Analysis of genotyped and imputed SNPs around known risk loci also detected near-genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative breast cancer in a region on 2q33 containing several genes including *PPIL3* and the known *CASP8* risk locus

Table 1 | Common genetic variants from known breast cancer susceptibility loci displaying most significant genome-wide associations with ER-negative breast cancer risk.

Location	Position	Nearest gene	SNP	Alleles	iCOGS/GWAS ER-negative			BRCA1 carriers			Meta-analysis
					EAF	OR (95% CI)	P	EAF	HR (95% CI)	P	
Variants in known loci most significantly associated with overall breast cancer											
1p36.2	10563609	PEX14	rs10864459	G/A	0.32	0.90 (0.87–0.93)	2.13×10^{-9}	0.31	0.95 (0.91–0.99)	0.01	4.60×10^{-10}
1q32.1	202179042	LGR6	rs17489300	A/C	0.4	0.90 (0.87–0.93)	9.37×10^{-10}	0.39	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.19	1.98×10^{-8}
1q32.1	204518842	MDM4	rs4245739	A/C	0.26	1.13 (1.11–1.19)	5.53×10^{-15}	0.28	1.09 (1.05–1.14)	6.83×10^{-5}	7.71×10^{-18}
2p24.1	19184284	2p24.1	rs12710696	C/T	0.36	1.10 (1.06–1.13)	1.70×10^{-8}	0.39	1.01 (0.97–1.05)	0.56	1.90×10^{-6}
2q14.2	121088182	INHBB	rs11903787	G/A	0.25	0.90 (0.86–0.94)	8.57×10^{-7}	0.26	0.91 (0.87–0.96)	2.0×10^{-4}	7.24×10^{-10}
5p15.3	1280028	TERT	rs2242652	A/G	0.20	1.18 (1.13–1.23)	2.73×10^{-14}	0.22	1.22 (1.16–1.28)	2.53×10^{-15}	7.58×10^{-28}
5p15.3	1282319	TERT	rs7726159	A/C	0.34	1.09 (1.05–1.13)	2.19×10^{-6}	0.35	1.07 (1.02–1.11)	1.79×10^{-3}	3.31×10^{-8}
5p15.3	1297488	TERT	rs2736108	T/C	0.29	0.89 (0.86–0.93)	1.41×10^{-8}	0.29	0.89 (0.86–0.93)	4.05×10^{-7}	3.05×10^{-14}
6q25.1	151918856	ESR1	rs12662670	T/G	0.08	1.20 (1.18–1.32)	8.90×10^{-15}	0.09	1.19 (1.11–1.27)	9.67×10^{-7}	1.32×10^{-19}
6q25.1	151946152	ESR1	rs1155804	A/T	0.34	1.16 (1.12–1.19)	8.18×10^{-18}	0.36	1.15 (1.11–1.20)	0.02	3.75×10^{-28}
10q21.2	64278682	ZNF365	rs10995190	G/A	0.16	0.89 (0.85–0.93)	3.75×10^{-8}	0.16	0.99 (0.94–1.04)	0.66	8.23×10^{-6}
10q25.2	114782803	TCF7L2	rs6585202	T/C	0.46	1.06 (1.04–1.10)	3.35×10^{-5}	0.47	1.10 (1.05–1.14)	6.08×10^{-6}	1.32×10^{-9}
11p15.5	1902097	LSP1	rs4980383	C/T	0.44	1.08 (1.05–1.12)	3.02×10^{-6}	0.45	1.07 (1.03–1.11)	7.73×10^{-4}	9.41×10^{-9}
12p11.2	28174817	PTHLH	rs7297051	C/T	0.24	0.86 (0.83–0.89)	1.48×10^{-14}	0.23	0.89 (0.85–0.93)	2.89×10^{-7}	3.12×10^{-20}
12p11.2	28155080	PTHLH	rs10771399	A/G	0.12	0.79 (0.78–0.87)	3.82×10^{-13}	0.10	0.86 (0.80–0.91)	2.55×10^{-6}	7.18×10^{-18}
16q12.1	52599188	TOX3	rs4784227	C/T	0.24	1.15 (1.11–1.19)	1.11×10^{-14}	0.26	1.07 (1.02–1.12)	4.97×10^{-3}	6.44×10^{-15}
16q12.2	53813367	FTO	rs17817449	T/G	0.41	0.91 (0.89–0.95)	2.83×10^{-7}	0.41	0.95 (0.92–0.99)	0.02	5.26×10^{-8}
16q12.2	53855291	FTO	rs11075995	T/A	0.24	1.11 (1.07–1.15)	3.30×10^{-8}	0.24	1.01 (0.97–1.06)	0.61	1.56×10^{-6}
19p13.1	17389704	MERIT40	rs8170	G/A	0.19	1.15 (1.11–1.20)	1.35×10^{-12}	0.19	1.17 (1.11–1.23)	7.29×10^{-10}	6.64×10^{-21}
19p13.1	17393925	ADH8	rs56069439	C/A	0.30	1.16 (1.13–1.20)	8.25×10^{-19}	0.30	1.19 (1.14–1.24)	1.42×10^{-15}	1.49×10^{-32}

CI, confidence interval; EAF, effect allele frequency; ER, oestrogen receptor; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

*P values from iCOGS/BCAC and meta-analysis for ER-negative breast cancer were estimated by z-test. P values for BRCA1 carriers were estimated by a kinship-adjusted retrospective likelihood approach.

†SNPs with more significant associations with ER-negative disease than known index SNPs from these loci.

(Table 2). rs115635831 ($P = 1.26 \times 10^{-7}$) and rs188686860 ($P = 8.34 \times 10^{-8}$; $r^2 = 1.0$), were the genotyped and imputed SNPs, respectively, most significantly associated with ER-negative breast cancer in this region. These SNPs, along with the most proximal rs74943274 SNP ($r^2 = 0.97$ with rs115635831), are located in *CLK1* (Cdc-like kinase-1) and *PPIL3* (Peptidylprolyl isomerase-Like 3) and are 350 kb upstream of *CASP8* (Table 2, Fig. 2). All 157 SNPs with highly significant associations ($P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$) in this region, were in high linkage disequilibrium with rs188686860 and rs115635831 ($r^2 > 0.90$), and were located proximal (Hg19: 201,717,014–201,995,860) to the *CASP8* gene (Supplementary Table 15). Fine mapping of the *CASP8* locus has recently identified four independent signals associated with overall breast cancer risk²⁹. The index SNPs for these independent signals range across a 350-kb region from 202,036,478 to 202,379,828. To determine whether these *CASP8*-associated signals accounted for the ER-negative associations in the meta-analysis, conditional analyses were conducted using the BCAC-iCOGS data. After accounting for the four *CASP8* signals, rs74943274 retained evidence of an association with overall breast cancer ($P = 1.44 \times 10^{-3}$) and a strong association with ER-negative breast cancer ($P = 1.34 \times 10^{-5}$; Supplementary Table 16; Supplementary Fig. 2), suggesting that rs74943274 and rs115635831 represents a novel locus associated with ER-negative breast cancer.

Further consideration of the BCAC-iCOGS data found no association for rs115635831 at 2q33 with ER-positive breast cancer ($P = 0.23$) but identified a significant difference ($P_{\text{diff}} = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$) in the per-allele ORs for ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer (Q-test, Supplementary Table 10). No influence of bilateral disease was observed in sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Table 11). However, the index SNPs in the 2q33 locus were significantly associated with BRCA1 breast cancer (rs115635831, $P = 0.018$; rs188686860, $P = 0.012$; Table 2). While there were no significant differences in the associations with ER-positive and ER-negative disease among BRCA1 carriers ($P_{\text{Het}} = 0.12$), the associations were stronger for ER-negative (rs115635831 HR = 1.32, $P = 3 \times 10^{-3}$) than ER-positive breast cancer (rs115635831 overall HR = 1.21, $P = 0.018$) using the retrospective likelihood model (Supplementary Table 12). In addition, the associations for BRCA1 mutation carriers were of similar magnitude as the OR estimates for ER-negative

breast cancer in BCAC-iCOGS²⁷ (Supplementary Table 15). There was also no evidence of intraclass heterogeneity ($P < 0.05$) between the effect estimates for BRCA1 mutation carriers and ER-negative breast cancer in the general population (BCAC-iCOGS)²⁷. A competing risks analysis for BRCA1 mutation carriers found little influence of ovarian cancer on risks of breast cancer (rs115635831 HR = 1.23, $P = 0.016$), and no evidence of association with ovarian cancer risk using the retrospective likelihood model (Supplementary Table 13). No association with overall breast cancer risk among BRCA2 mutation carriers (Supplementary Table 10) was evident. Interestingly, rs114962751 at 2q33 and rs150750171 at 6p had the most significant interaction ($P = 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$) among all known breast cancer risk SNPs in the iCOGS data, although the interaction was non-significant after adjusting for multiple testing (Supplementary Table 14). Altogether these results suggest the presence of a novel locus associated with ER-negative breast cancer that is located in the *CLK1/PPIL3* region proximal to *CASP8*.

Expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) analysis. To identify the genes in the novel loci influenced by the observed associations with ER-negative breast cancer, expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) analyses were performed using gene expression data from breast tumour tissue and normal breast tissue and 1000 Genomes Project imputed SNPs in 1 Mb regions around the novel loci. In the 2p23.2 locus, the strongest cis eQTL associations for 735 TCGA breast tumours (BC765) involved *TRMT61B* expression (Supplementary Table 17). Most of the genome-wide significant ER-negative breast cancer risk SNPs in the locus displayed associations with *TRMT61B* expression, including the imputed SNPs (rs67073037, $P = 1.47 \times 10^{-5}$; Supplementary Fig. 3; rs6734079, $P = 1.85 \times 10^{-5}$) and the genotyped SNP (rs4577254, $P = 5.61 \times 10^{-5}$) most significantly associated with risk (Supplementary Table 18). Similarly, in a Norwegian normal breast cohort of 116 normal breast tissues (NB116), the strongest cis eQTLs associations involved *TRMT61B* expression and the risk SNPs in the locus yielded significant associations with *TRMT61B* expression (Supplementary Table 17). While the peak eQTL SNPs (rs6419696, $P = 1.21 \times 10^{-17}$) were not among the SNPs showing the greatest association with risk (rs6419696, $P = 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$), conditional analyses showed that the rs6419696

Table 2 | Novel associations of common genetic variants with ER-negative breast cancer risk.

Location	Position	Nearest gene	SNP	r ²	Allele	iCOGS/GWAS ER-negative			BRCA1 carriers			Meta-analysis
						EAF	OR (95% CI)	P*	EAF	HR (95% CI)	P*	P*
2p23.2	29119585	WDR43	rs67073037	0.98	A/T	0.24	0.92 (0.88–0.95)	3.20 × 10 ^{−6}	0.20	0.92 (0.87–0.96)	4.58 × 10 ^{−4}	4.76 × 10 ^{−9}
2p23.2	29160421	WDR43	rs6734079	0.99	T/A	0.23	0.92 (0.88–0.95)	3.99 × 10 ^{−6}	0.20	0.92 (0.87–0.96)	4.55 × 10 ^{−4}	5.50 × 10 ^{−9}
2p23.2	29120733	WDR43	rs4577244	1	C/T	0.23	0.92 (0.89–0.95)	6.36 × 10 ^{−6}	0.20	0.92 (0.88–0.96)	5.48 × 10 ^{−4}	1.05 × 10 ^{−8}
2q33	201717014	CLK1	rs74943274	0.98	G/A	0.015	1.34 (1.18–1.52)	5.89 × 10 ^{−6}	0.02	1.20 (1.03–1.41)	0.012	6.00 × 10 ^{−7}
2q33	201733341	CLK1/PPIL3	rs188686860	0.98	C/T	0.016	1.36 (1.20–1.53)	1.16 × 10 ^{−6}	0.02	1.22 (1.04–1.42)	0.012	8.34 × 10 ^{−8}
2q33	201743594	PPIL3	rs115635831	1	G/A	0.015	1.36 (1.20–1.54)	1.07 × 10 ^{−6}	0.02	1.21 (1.03–1.41)	0.018	1.26 × 10 ^{−7}
2q33	201935871	FAM126B/NDUF83	rs114962751	1	T/A	0.016	1.36 (1.20–1.53)	1.17 × 10 ^{−6}	0.02	1.22 (1.05–1.42)	0.011	7.24 × 10 ^{−8}
13q22	73957681	KLF5/KLF12	rs6562760	1	G/A	0.23	0.92 (0.89–0.96)	1.85 × 10 ^{−5}	0.20	0.89 (0.85–0.94)	2.85 × 10 ^{−6}	4.98 × 10 ^{−10}
13q22	73960952	KLF5/KLF12	rs2181965	0.99	G/A	0.23	0.92 (0.89–0.96)	2.16 × 10 ^{−5}	0.20	0.89 (0.85–0.94)	2.39 × 10 ^{−6}	5.04 × 10 ^{−10}
13q22	73964519	KLF5/KLF12	rs8002929	1	A/G	0.23	0.93 (0.89–0.96)	2.52 × 10 ^{−5}	0.20	0.89 (0.85–0.94)	1.71 × 10 ^{−6}	5.35 × 10 ^{−10}
13q22	73806982	KLF5/KLF12	rs12870942	0.99	T/C	0.32	1.09 (1.05–1.13)	2.71 × 10 ^{−7}	0.30	1.06 (1.01–1.10)	0.01	3.75 × 10 ^{−8}
13q22	73811471	KLF5/KLF12	rs17181761	0.99	A/C	0.32	1.09 (1.05–1.12)	3.44 × 10 ^{−7}	0.30	1.06 (1.01–1.10)	9.29 × 10 ^{−3}	4.23 × 10 ^{−8}
13q22	73813803	KLF5/KLF12	rs9573140	1	A/G	0.32	1.09 (1.05–1.12)	3.77 × 10 ^{−7}	0.30	1.06 (1.01–1.10)	0.01	5.38 × 10 ^{−8}

CI, confidence interval; EAF, Effect allele frequency; ER, oestrogen receptor; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; r², imputation accuracy; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
*P values from iCOGS/BCAC and meta-analysis for ER-negative breast cancer were estimated by z-test. P values for BRCA1 carriers were estimated by a kinship-adjusted retrospective likelihood approach.

eQTL SNP accounted for much of the influence of the rs4577254 SNP on ER-negative breast cancer risk ($P=9.07 \times 10^{-4}$) and vice versa (Supplementary Table 18). Thus, modulation of *TRMT61B* expression may contribute in part to the risk of breast cancer in this region. In the 13q22.1 locus, the strongest eQTLs in the 735 TCGA breast tumours (BC765) involved *PIBF1* (Supplementary Table 19). However, none of the SNPs strongly associated with breast cancer risk in either of the two independent 13q22 loci showed associations with gene expression (Supplementary Table 19, Supplementary Fig. 4). In contrast, significant associations with *DIS3* expression were observed in the BC241 and NB116 cohorts for many of the genome-wide significant SNPs in the locus represented by rs17181761 (NB116 eQTL $P=2.34 \times 10^{-3}$) (Supplementary Table 19). While non-significant after accounting for multiple testing, these observations suggest that future studies should evaluate mechanistic interactions between 13q22.1 SNPs and *DIS3* expression. Evaluation of eQTLs in the 2q33 locus for the BC765 cohort found that many of the 157 risk-associated SNPs (Table 2, Supplementary Table 15) had strong associations with *PPIL3* expression (rs188686860, $P=1.77 \times 10^{-7}$; rs115635831, $P=6.08 \times 10^{-7}$; Supplementary Fig. 5) and little evidence of any associations with other genes in the region (Supplementary Table 20). This is one of the few known breast cancer risk loci where the most significant risk SNPs are strongly associated with local gene expression. *PPIL3* is located at the proximal end of the locus, 270 kb upstream of *CASP8*, further suggesting that the 2q33 risk locus is independent of any influence on *CASP8*.

Functional characterization of the 2p23.2 locus. To identify candidate SNPs and genes in the 2p23.2 locus driving ER-negative breast cancer risk, ENCODE chromatin biofeatures were evaluated in primary human mammary epithelial cells (HMECs), MCF7 ER-positive cells and MB-MDA-231 ER-negative cells³⁰. Sixteen of the 79 most significantly associated SNPs ($P<3 \times 10^{-7}$) in the region overlapped with three distinct regulatory regions (Supplementary Figs 6 and 7). The most significantly associated ER-negative SNP, rs67073037 (Table 2) was located in intron 1 of *WDR43* near the transcription start site in a region containing acetylated H3K27 and trimethylated H3K4 chromatin marks in normal HMECs and MB-MDA-231 ER-negative breast tumour cells, and a DNase hypersensitivity cluster in ER-positive MCF7 cells (Supplementary Figs 6 and 7). The three risk-associated SNPs (rs4407214, rs66604446 and

rs66768547) with the most significant RegulomeDB scores (2b), were located in the same chromatin marks in this region in HMEC, MD-MBA-231 and MCF7 cells (<http://regulomedb.org>). In addition, the top genotyped SNP (rs4577244) was located in a monomethylated H3K4 mark adjacent to the core promoter region of *WDR43* in HMECs (Supplementary Fig. 6). Separately rs11677283 and rs35617956 in introns 9 and 10 of *WDR43* were located in acetylated H3K27 and H3K9 chromatin marks in a putative regulatory region in HMECs, but not in ER-negative MD-MBA-231 cells.

Combining the eQTL results with these predictions, we tested four genomic tiles spanning region 1 for enhancer activity in both orientations using a luciferase reporter assay in the CAL51 ER-negative breast cancer line and MCF10A normal mammary epithelial cells (Fig. 3). The tile containing rs4407214 displayed significant enhancer activity ($P<0.0001$) in at least one orientation when compared with the negative control in MCF10A and CAL51 (Fig. 3). In addition, the tile carrying the disease-associated G allele showed significantly ($P=0.0059$) higher activity than the T allele in MCF10A cells (Fig. 3). Similarly, the disease-associated G-allele showed significantly ($P=0.0059$) higher activity than the T-allele in a luciferase-based promoter assay in MCF10A cells ($P=0.044$) and CAL51 ($P=0.0078$; Supplementary Fig. 8). Consistent with these allele-specific changes in transcriptional activity different protein complexes in electrophoretic mobility shift assays were observed using CAL51 and MCF10A nuclear extracts (Fig. 3). In addition, Pol2 ChIA-PET in MCF7 breast cancer cells revealed an interaction between Region 1 and the promoter of *TRMT61B* (Fig. 3), which had the strongest eQTL signal in the locus. These results are consistent with modification of Pol2 binding to this region by rs4407214 in lymphoblastoid cells³¹ and suggest the presence of a transcriptional enhancer in the region. Separately, the ChIA-PET data further suggest that Region 2 in *WDR43* may interact with the promoter of *WDR43* (Fig. 3). Thus, *WDR43* and *TRMT61B* may be regulated by interactions of enhancers in *WDR43* with the core *WDR43* and *TRMT61B* promoters and may jointly influence breast cancer risk in this region.

Functional characterization of the 13q22 locus. The SNPs most significantly associated with ER-negative breast cancer in the two 13q22 loci formed two small clusters in a 4-kb region around rs17181761 and a 10-kb region around rs8002929. Bioinformatics analysis and chromatin feature analysis identified weak DNaseI

hypersensitivity sites, CTCF binding and monomethylated H3K4 sites in both regions in HMEC cells, consistent with weak enhancer activity (Supplementary Figs 9 and 10). Both rs17181761 and rs12870942 in the proximal locus are associated with transcriptional activity in HMECs, whereas rs8002929 and rs927683 in the distal locus are associated with enhancer and DNase hypersensitivity sites in HMECs, respectively (<http://regulomedb.org>). Both 13q22 loci are located in a non-genic 600-kb region between the *KLF5* and *KLF12* kruppel-like transcription factor genes. This segment of chromosome 13 is frequently deleted in a spectrum of cancers^{32,33}. GWAS have also identified a pancreatic cancer risk locus in the region between *KLF5* and *KLF12* (refs 34–36). However, the rs9543325 SNP from the pancreatic cancer studies was only marginally associated with ER-negative breast cancer risk ($P=0.03$) in the meta-analysis suggesting that the signals are independent.

Functional characterization of the 2q33 locus. The SNPs most significantly associated with ER-negative breast cancer in the 2q33 locus range across a 350-kb region that contains nine genes (Supplementary Fig. 6). This region contains at least 10 strong enhancer regions in HMECs and 12 strong enhancer regions in MD-MBA-231 cells associated with acetylated H3K27 and trimethylated H3K4 chromatin marks. As noted above, many of the 157 SNPs most significantly associated with ER-negative breast cancer are associated with *PPIL3* expression. Seven of these also scored as functional candidates by RegulomeDB (score = 3a; rs17467658, rs17383256, rs17467916, rs114567273, rs76377168, rs116509920 and rs116724456). Of these rs17467658 in *CLK1* and rs17383256 in the *ORC2* gene are located in DNase hypersensitivity sites and strong enhancer regions in HMEC and MD-MBA-231 cells (<http://www.roadmapepigenomics.org>; Supplementary Figs 11 and 12). In addition, rs116509920 and rs116724456 are associated with *PPIL3* expression ($P=5.85 \times 10^{-7}$), although neither SNP is associated with an enhancer or suppressor region. The genotyped SNP most significantly associated with risk, rs114962751, is located in acetylated H3K27 and trimethylated H3K4 chromatin marks in a bidirectional promoter for *FAM126B* and *NDUFB3* in HMEC and MD-MBA-231 cells (Supplementary Figs 11 and 12). Similarly, the rs74943274 genotyped risk SNP (Table 2) is located near the 3'-untranslated region of *CLK1* and is associated with *PPIL3* expression ($P=2.37 \times 10^{-6}$). However, rs78258606 is perhaps a more likely candidate driver of ER-negative risk in this locus because the SNP is associated with ER-negative breast cancer ($P=1.9 \times 10^{-7}$), is located in the *CLK1* promoter in acetylated H3K27 and trimethylated H3K4 chromatin marks in HMEC and MD-MBA-231 cells and DNase hypersensitivity sites in MCF7 cells, and is associated with *PPIL3* expression ($P=2.71 \times 10^{-7}$) (Supplementary Figs 11 and 12). Further fine mapping and functional characterization of this locus is needed to resolve the underlying functional effects and identify the genes influencing ER-negative breast cancer risk.

Discussion

When including the four 2p23.2, 13q22 and 2q33 novel loci identified in this meta-analysis, 23 independent loci have shown genome-wide significant associations with ER-negative disease, including 10 loci showing no associations or only weak associations with ER-positive disease. In total, 63 loci have shown at least marginal significance ($P<0.05$) with ER-negative breast cancer. In *BRCA1* mutation carriers, 27 independent loci ($P<0.05$) have been associated with modified breast cancer risk²⁷. The percentage of the familial risk for ER-negative disease explained by SNPs is not well defined because there is currently no good estimate for the familial relative risk for ER-negative disease. However, assuming that the estimate is similar to that for

overall breast cancer (twofold for a first-degree relative), and based on the estimated frequencies and ORs from the iCOGS data, the SNPs in the known breast cancer risk loci explain 9.8% of the familial risk and the SNPs in the four new loci account for a further 0.8%. The addition of these new ER-negative loci may improve overall risk prediction models for ER-negative disease in the general population and for breast cancer among *BRCA1* mutation carriers by enhancing the contribution of current polygenic risk prediction models^{21,22}. Furthermore, fine mapping and functional studies of these loci may provide further insight into the aetiology of ER-negative breast cancer.

Methods

Study populations. Details of the subjects, genotyping and quality control measures for the BCAC GWAS and iCOGS data³, BPC3 (ref. 16), EBCG³⁷, TNBCC^{14,38} and *BRCA1* (ref. 22) are described elsewhere. Analyses were restricted to women of European ancestry. Overall, 42 BCAC studies provided the iCOGS genotyping data for ER-negative breast cancer cases and controls. In addition, 11 breast cancer studies provided GWAS genotyping data. Forty five CIMBA studies provided iCOGS genotyping on 15,252 *BRCA1* mutation carriers, of whom 7,797 were affected with breast cancer.

Genotype data. Genotyping and imputation details for each study are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Imputation. We performed imputation separately for *BRCA1* carriers, 11 GWAS, BCAC-iCOGS and TNBCC-iCOGS samples. We imputed variants from the 1000 Genomes Project data using the v3 April 2012 release³⁹ as the reference panel. Imputation was based on the 1000 Genomes Project data with singletons removed. Eight BCAC GWAS were imputed in a two-step procedure, with prephasing using the SHAPEIT software and imputation of the phased data in the second with IMPUTEv2 (ref. 40). For the remaining three GWAS (BPC3, TNBCC and EBCG), imputation was performed using MACH (version 1.0.18) and Minimac (version 2012.8.15)⁴¹. The iCOGS data were also imputed with two-stage procedure involving SHAPEIT and IMPUTEv2. To perform the imputation we divided the data into segments of ~5 Mb each. The iCOGS samples were divided into 10 subsets, keeping all subjects from individual studies in the same set. Estimates and s.e.'s were obtained using logistic regression adjusting for study and 9 principal components. GWAS SNPs were excluded if the imputation accuracy was $r^2<0.3$ or if the minor allele frequency (MAF) was <0.01 . TNBCC SNPs were excluded when the imputation accuracy was $r^2<0.9$ and $MAF<0.05$, iCOGS SNPs were excluded when $r^2<0.3$ and $MAF<0.005$. Regions with evidence of genome-wide significant associations ($P<5 \times 10^{-8}$) were reimputed in iCOGS, using IMPUTEv2 but without prephasing in SHAPEIT to improve imputation accuracy. In addition, the number of MCMC iterations were increased from 30 to 90, and the buffer region was increased to ± 500 kb from any significantly associated SNP in the region.

Meta-analysis. A fixed effects meta-analysis of ER-negative breast cancer associations was conducted using an inverse variance approach assuming fixed effects, as implemented in METAL⁴². The effect estimates used were the logarithm of the per-allele HR estimate for the association with breast cancer risk in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers and the logarithm of the per-allele OR estimate for the association with breast cancer status in GWAS and iCOGS analyses, both of which were assumed to approximate the same relative risk. For the associations in *BRCA1* mutation carriers, a kinship-adjusted variance estimator was used¹². P -values were estimated by z -test.

Heterogeneity analysis. Heterogeneity across estimates from BCAC and iCOGS were evaluated using a Cochran Q test and I^2 for the proportion of total variability explained by heterogeneity in the effect sizes⁴³. Associations with ER-positive and ER-negative subgroups of *BRCA1* carriers were evaluated using an extension of the retrospective likelihood approach to model the simultaneous effect of each SNP on more than one tumour subtype²⁷. The consistency between breast cancer associations for breast cancer susceptibility variants in the general population and associations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* carriers were evaluated using the intraclass correlation (ICC)²⁷. The ICC was estimated based on a one-way random-effects model and tested for agreement in absolute values of log HR.

Locus coverage. Locus boundaries were defined so that all SNPs with $r^2 \geq 0.1$ with the most significantly associated SNP were included. SNPs with $MAF<0.005$ were excluded. Linkage disequilibrium blocks were defined at $r^2 \geq 0.8$. Each linkage disequilibrium block was evaluated for the presence of at least one genotyped or imputed SNP. If imputed, then the imputation accuracy was considered.

Expression quantitative trait locus analysis. eQTL analysis was performed for all protein coding genes within 1 Mb, up- and downstream of the SNP most significantly associated with ER-negative breast cancer risk in each locus. Normal breast (NB116; $n = 116$) and breast cancer (BC241; $n = 241$) are comprised of women of Norwegian descent. Gene expression data for the majority of women in NB116 were derived from normal breast tissue in women who had not been affected with breast cancer; data for ten women were derived from normal tissue adjacent to a tumour. Gene expression data for BC241 were derived from breast tumours (70 ER-negative and 170 ER-positive). Genotyping was performed with the iCOGS SNP array, and gene expression levels were measured with the Agilent 44K array^{44,45}. BC765 ($n = 765$) is the TCGA breast cancer cohort composed of 139 ER-negative, 571 ER-positive and 55 undefined breast tumours; all non-European samples (as determined by clustering and PCA) were excluded from this analysis⁴⁶. Germline genotype data from Affymetrix SNP 6 array were obtained from TCGA dbGAP data portal⁴⁶. Gene expression levels for the breast tumours were assayed by RNA sequencing, RSEM (RNaseq by Expectation-Maximization²¹) normalized per gene, as obtained from the TCGA consortium portal⁴⁶. The data were log₂ transformed, and unexpressed genes were excluded prior to eQTL analysis. There is no overlap between women recruited to each of these studies. The genotyping data were processed as follows: SNPs with call rates < 0.95 or minor allele frequencies < 0.05 or Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P < 10^{-13}$) were excluded. Samples with call rates below 80% were excluded. Identity by state was computed with the R GenABEL package⁴⁷ and closely related samples with IBS > 0.95 were removed. Imputation was performed on the iCOGS and Affymetrix6 germline genotype data using the 1000 Genomes Project March 2012 v.3 release as the reference data set. A two-stage imputation procedure was used as described above. The influence of SNPs on gene expression was assessed using a linear regression model. An additive effect was assumed by modelling copy number of the rare allele, that is, 0, 1 or 2, for a given genotype.

Candidate gene analysis. TCGA has performed extensive genomic analysis of tumours from a large number of tissue types including over 1,000 breast tumours. All genes in the novel loci were evaluated for coding somatic sequence variants in TCGA. Breast tumours with log₂ copy-number data in the TCGA data were analysed for deletion and amplification of each candidate gene using the cBio portal^{48,49}.

Informatics and chromatin biofeatures. Candidate SNPs were evaluated using SNPInfo (<http://snpinfo.niehs.nih.gov>) and SNPnexus (<http://snp-nexus.org/test/snpnexus>). The presence of SNPs in transcription factor binding sites using TRANSFAC and miRNA binding sites using TargetScan were noted. Regulatory potential scores (ESPER Regulatory Potential) were obtained from the UCSC genome bioinformatics browser (<http://genome.ucsc.edu/>). RegulomeDB (<http://regulomedb.org>) was used to assess SNPs for transcription factor recognition motifs, open chromatin structure based on FAIRE and DNase-seq analysis and protein binding sites based on ChIP-seq data. Chromatin biofeatures in HMEC and MCF7 cells were assessed using ENCODE layers on the UCSC browser (<http://genome.ucsc.edu/>). Enhancers active in the mammary cell types MCF7 and HMEC were cross-referenced with candidate SNPs.

Luciferase reporter assays. Genomic tiles spanning regions containing SNPs with indication of regulatory activity by RegulomeDB were generated. Regions containing the major and minor alleles within the 2p23.2 region spanning 2,229 bp (chr2:29,117,333-29,119,561) were generated by PCR using BAC DNA CTD-3216P10 as template. Forward and reverse primers contained attB1 and attB2 sequences, respectively, to aid in recombinational cloning. Tiles were cloned in both a forward and reverse orientation upstream of the SV40 promoter by recombination in the firefly luciferase reporter vector pGL3-Pro-attB vector designed to test for enhancer regions. This vector is a modification of pGL3-Promoter (Invitrogen) adding attB sites surrounding the *ccdb* gene. The clone containing the tile was co-transfected in eight replicates using LipoFectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) into MCF10A or CAL51 cells with pRL-CMV (Promega), an internal control expressing Renilla luciferase, per well of 96-well plates. Luciferase activity was measured 24-h post transfection by Dual Glo Luciferase Assay (Promega). Transfections were repeated in two independent experiments with similar results. The influence of the common and rare alleles of rs4407214 on promoter activity in the pGL3-Promoter vector (Invitrogen) were assessed using the same methodology. Primers are available on request.

Electromobility shift assays. Nuclear proteins from MCF10A and CAL51 cells were extracted using a hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl) supplemented with DTT and protease inhibitors, followed by an extraction buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.42 M NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 25% v/v glycerol) supplemented with DTT and protease inhibitors. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays probes were designed to cover each SNP ± 20 base pairs, for both major and minor alleles. Probe pairs were dissolved in water and annealed at a concentration of 10 μ M each. Probes were labelled with ATP (γ -³²P; Perkin Elmer) using T4 polynucleotide kinase and cleaned using the QiaQuick Nucleotide Removal Kit (Qiagen). Labelled and unlabelled probes were then

incubated with protein extracts using LightShift Poly(dI-dC) (Thermo) and a binding buffer (10 mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 7.4) and electrophoresed on a 6% acrylamide gel overnight at 83 V. Gels were dried and films were exposed for 4–24 h. Probe sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 21.

References

- Kamangar, F., Dores, G. M. & Anderson, W. F. Patterns of cancer incidence, mortality, and prevalence across five continents: defining priorities to reduce cancer disparities in different geographic regions of the world. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **24**, 2137–2150 (2006).
- Slattery, M. L. & Kerber, R. A. A comprehensive evaluation of family history and breast cancer risk. The Utah Population Database. *JAMA* **270**, 1563–1568 (1993).
- Michailidou, K. *et al.* Large-scale genotyping identifies 41 new loci associated with breast cancer risk. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 353–361 (2013).
- Easton, D. F. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nature* **447**, 1087–1093 (2007).
- Hunter, D. J. *et al.* A genome-wide association study identifies alleles in FGFR2 associated with risk of sporadic postmenopausal breast cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **39**, 870–874 (2007).
- Stacey, S. N. *et al.* Common variants on chromosomes 2q35 and 16q12 confer susceptibility to estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **39**, 865–869 (2007).
- Stacey, S. N. *et al.* Common variants on chromosome 5p12 confer susceptibility to estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 703–706 (2008).
- Ahmed, S. *et al.* Newly discovered breast cancer susceptibility loci on 3p24 and 17q23.2. *Nat. Genet.* **41**, 585–590 (2009).
- Zheng, W. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies a new breast cancer susceptibility locus at 6q25.1. *Nat. Genet.* **41**, 324–328 (2009).
- Thomas, G. *et al.* A multistage genome-wide association study in breast cancer identifies two new risk alleles at 1p11.2 and 14q24.1 (RAD51L1). *Nat. Genet.* **41**, 579–584 (2009).
- Turnbull, C. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies five new breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 504–507 (2010).
- Antoniou, A. C. *et al.* A locus on 19p13 modifies risk of breast cancer in BRCA1 mutation carriers and is associated with hormone receptor-negative breast cancer in the general population. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 885–892 (2010).
- Fletcher, O. *et al.* Novel breast cancer susceptibility locus at 9q31.2: results of a genome-wide association study. *J.N.C.I* **103**, 425–435 (2011).
- Haiman, C. A. *et al.* A common variant at the TERT-CLPTM1L locus is associated with estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 1210–1214 (2011).
- Ghoussaini, M. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis identifies three new breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nat. Genet.* **44**, 312–318 (2012).
- Siddiq, A. *et al.* A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of breast cancer identifies two novel susceptibility loci at 6q14 and 20q11. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **21**, 5373–5384 (2012).
- Garcia-Closas, M. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies identify four ER negative-specific breast cancer risk loci. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 392–398, 398e1–398e2 (2013).
- Bojesen, S. E. *et al.* Multiple independent variants at the TERT locus are associated with telomere length and risks of breast and ovarian cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 371–384 (2013).
- Purrington, K. S. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 25 known breast cancer susceptibility loci as risk factors for triple-negative breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis* **35**, 1012–1019 (2014).
- Michailidou, K. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis of more than 120,000 individuals identifies 15 new susceptibility loci for breast cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 373–380 (2015).
- Antoniou, A. C. *et al.* Common variants at 12p11, 12q24, 9p21, 9q31.2 and in ZNF365 are associated with breast cancer risk for BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Breast Cancer Res.* **14**, R33 (2012).
- Couch, F. J. *et al.* Genome-wide association study in BRCA1 mutation carriers identifies novel loci associated with breast and ovarian cancer risk. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003212 (2013).
- Mavaddat, N. *et al.* Pathology of breast and ovarian cancers among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: results from the Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of BRCA1/2 (CIMBA). *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* **21**, 134–147 (2012).
- Lakhani, S. R. *et al.* Multifactorial analysis of differences between sporadic breast cancers and cancers involving BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* **90**, 1138–1145 (1998).
- Lakhani, S. R. *et al.* Prediction of BRCA1 status in patients with breast cancer using estrogen receptor and basal phenotype. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **11**, 5175–5180 (2005).
- Chenevix-Trench, G. *et al.* An international initiative to identify genetic modifiers of cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: the Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 (CIMBA). *Breast Cancer Res.* **9**, 104 (2007).

27. Kuchenbaecker, K. B. *et al.* Associations of common breast cancer susceptibility alleles with risk of breast cancer subtypes in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers. *Breast Cancer Res.* **16**, 3416 (2015).
28. Pooley, K. A. *et al.* A genome-wide association scan (GWAS) for mean telomere length within the COGS project: identified loci show little association with hormone-related cancer risk. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **22**, 5056–5064 (2013).
29. Lin, W. Y. *et al.* Identification and characterization of novel associations in the CASP8/ALS2CR12 region on chromosome 2 with breast cancer risk. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **24**, 285–298 (2014).
30. Rhie, S. K. *et al.* Nucleosome positioning and histone modifications define relationships between regulatory elements and nearby gene expression in breast epithelial cells. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 331 (2014).
31. Kasowski, M. *et al.* Variation in transcription factor binding among humans. *Science* **328**, 232–235 (2010).
32. Chen, C. *et al.* Defining a common region of deletion at 13q21 in human cancers. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **31**, 333–344 (2001).
33. Kainu, T. *et al.* Somatic deletions in hereditary breast cancers implicate 13q21 as a putative novel breast cancer susceptibility locus. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 9603–9608 (2000).
34. Petersen, G. M. *et al.* A genome-wide association study identifies pancreatic cancer susceptibility loci on chromosomes 13q22.1, 1q32.1 and 5p15.33. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 224–228 (2010).
35. Wolpin, B. M. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for pancreatic cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 994–1000 (2014).
36. Childs, E. J. *et al.* Common variation at 2p13.3, 3q29, 7p13 and 17q25.1 associated with susceptibility to pancreatic cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 911–916 (2015).
37. Ahsan, H. *et al.* A genome-wide association study of early-onset breast cancer identifies PFKM as a novel breast cancer gene and supports a common genetic spectrum for breast cancer at any age. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* **23**, 658–669 (2014).
38. Stevens, K. N. *et al.* 19p13.1 is a triple-negative-specific breast cancer susceptibility locus. *Cancer Res.* **72**, 1795–1803 (2012).
39. Genomes Project Consortium. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. *Nature* **491**, 56–65 (2012).
40. Howie, B., Fuchsberger, C., Stephens, M., Marchini, J. & Abecasis, G. R. Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing. *Nat. Genet.* **44**, 955–959 (2012).
41. Willer, C. J. *et al.* Newly identified loci that influence lipid concentrations and risk of coronary artery disease. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 161–169 (2008).
42. Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190–2191 (2010).
43. Higgins, J. P. & Thompson, S. G. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat. Med.* **21**, 1539–1558 (2002).
44. Quigley, D. A. *et al.* The 5p12 breast cancer susceptibility locus affects MRPS30 expression in estrogen-receptor positive tumors. *Mol. Oncol.* **8**, 273–284 (2014).
45. Haakensen, V. D. *et al.* Gene expression profiles of breast biopsies from healthy women identify a group with claudin-low features. *BMC Med. Genomics* **4**, 77 (2011).
46. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* **490**, 61–70 (2012).
47. Aulchenko, Y. S., Ripke, S., Isaacs, A. & van Duijn, C. M. GenABEL: an R library for genome-wide association analysis. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 1294–1296 (2007).
48. Gao, J. *et al.* Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Sci. Signal.* **6**, pl1 (2013).
49. Cerami, E. *et al.* The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* **2**, 401–404 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We thank all the researchers and administrative staff who have enabled this work to be carried out and all of the individuals who took part in these studies.

B.C.A.C. was funded through a European Community Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement no 223175 (HEALTH-F2-2009-223175; COGS); Cancer Research UK (C1287/A10118, C1287/A10710, C12292/A11174, C1281/A12014, C5047/A8384, C5047/A15007, C5047/A10692); the National Institutes of Health Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) in Breast Cancer (CA116201), R01 grants (CA128978, CA176785, CA192393), and Post-Cancer GWAS initiative (1U19 CA148537, 1U19 CA148065 and 1U19 CA148112 - the GAME-ON initiative); the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) for the CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer, the Breast Cancer Res. Foundation, and the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund. CIMBA genotyping was supported by National Institutes of Health grant (CA128978); the Department of Defence (W81XWH-10-1-0341); and the Breast Cancer Res. Foundation. CIMBA data management and data analysis were supported by Cancer Research UK grants C12292/A11174 and C1287/A10118. This study made use of data generated by the Wellcome Trust Case Control consortium. Functional studies were supported by the Florida Breast Cancer Foundation. A full description of funding and acknowledgments is provided in Supplementary Note 1.

Author contributions

F.J.C., K. Michailidou, K. Kuchenbaecker, M. Garcia-Closas, D.F.E. and A.C.A. conceived and designed the study and drafted the manuscript. D.F.E. conceived and coordinated the synthesis of the iCOGS array and led the BCAC studies. A.C.A., G.C.T. and F.J.C. coordinated the CIMBA studies. K. Michailidou, K. Kuchenbaecker, D.F.E. and A.C.A. conducted the statistical analyses. A.A.N.M. coordinated the functional studies. All authors provided materials or data and reviewed and commented on the content of the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Couch, F. J. *et al.* Identification of four novel susceptibility loci for oestrogen receptor negative breast cancer. *Nat. Commun.* **7**:11375 doi: 10.1038/ncomms11375 (2016).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Fergus J. Couch^{1,2,*}, Karoline B. Kuchenbaecker^{3,*}, Kyriaki Michailidou^{3,*}, Gustavo A. Mendoza-Fandino⁴, Silje Nord⁵, Janna Lilyquist², Curtis Olswold², Emily Hallberg², Simona Agata⁶, Habibul Ahsan^{7,8,9}, Kristiina Aittomäki¹⁰, Christine Ambrosone¹¹, Irene L. Andrulis^{12,13}, Hoda Anton-Culver¹⁴, Volker Arndt¹⁵, Banu K. Arun¹⁶, Brita Arver¹⁷, Monica Barile¹⁸, Rosa B. Barkardottir¹⁹, Daniel Barrowdale³, Lars Beckmann²⁰, Matthias W. Beckmann²¹, Javier Benitez^{22,23,24}, Stephanie V. Blank²⁵, Carl Blomqvist²⁶, Natalia V. Bogdanova²⁷, Stig E. Bojesen²⁸, Manjeet K. Bolla³, Bernardo Bonanni¹⁸, Hiltrud Brauch^{29,30}, Hermann Brenner^{15,31}, Barbara Burwinkel³², Sandra S. Buys³³, Trinidad Caldes³⁴, Maria A. Caligo³⁵, Federico Canzian³⁶, Jane Carpenter³⁷, Jenny Chang-Claude³⁸, Stephen J. Chanock³⁹, Wendy K. Chung⁴⁰, Kathleen B. M. Claes⁴¹,

Angela Cox⁴², Simon S. Cross⁴³, Julie M. Cunningham¹, Kamila Czene⁴⁴, Mary B. Daly⁴⁵, Francesca Damiola⁴⁶, Hatef Darabi⁴⁴, Miguel de la Hoya³⁴, Peter Devilee⁴⁷, Orland Diez⁴⁸, Yuan C. Ding⁴⁹, Riccardo Dolcetti⁵⁰, Susan M. Domchek⁵¹, Cecilia M. Dorfling⁵², Isabel dos-Santos-Silva⁵³, Martine Dumont⁵⁴, Alison M. Dunning⁵⁵, Diana M. Eccles⁵⁶, Hans Ehrencrona^{57,58}, Arif B. Ekici^{59,60}, Heather Eliassen^{61,62}, Steve Ellis³, Peter A. Fasching²¹, Jonine Figueroa³⁹, Dieter Flesch-Janys⁶³, Asta Försti^{64,65}, Florentia Fostira⁶⁶, William D. Foulkes⁶⁷, Tara Friebe⁶⁸, Eitan Friedman⁶⁹, Debra Frost³, Marike Gabrielson⁴⁴, Marilie D. Gammon⁷⁰, Patricia A. Ganz⁷¹, Susan M. Gapstur⁷², Judy Garber⁷³, Mia M. Gaudet⁷², Simon A. Gayther⁷⁴, Anne-Marie Gerdes⁷⁵, Maya Ghoussaini⁵⁵, Graham G. Giles⁷⁶, Gord Glendon¹², Andrew K. Godwin⁷⁷, Mark S. Goldberg^{78,79}, David E. Goldgar⁸⁰, Anna González-Neira⁸¹, Mark H. Greene⁸², Jacek Gronwald⁸³, Pascal Guénel⁸⁴, Marc Gunter⁸⁵, Lothar Haeblerle²¹, Christopher A. Haiman⁸⁶, Ute Hamann⁸⁷, Thomas V.O. Hansen⁸⁸, Steven Hart², Sue Healey⁸⁹, Tuomas Heikkinen^{32,90}, Brian E. Henderson⁸⁶, Josef Herzog⁹¹, Frans B.L. Hogervorst⁹², Antoinette Hollestelle⁹³, Maartje J. Hooning⁹⁴, Robert N. Hoover³⁹, John L. Hopper⁹⁵, Keith Humphreys⁴⁴, David J. Hunter⁹⁶, Tomasz Huzarski⁸³, Evgeny N. Imyanitov⁹⁷, Claudine Isaacs⁹⁸, Anna Jakubowska⁸³, Paul James^{99,100}, Ramunas Janavicius¹⁰¹, Uffe Birk Jensen¹⁰², Esther M. John¹⁰³, Michael Jones¹⁰⁴, Maria Kabisch⁸⁷, Siddhartha Kar⁵⁵, Beth Y. Karlan¹⁰⁵, Sofia Khan¹⁰⁶, Kay-Tee Khaw¹⁰⁷, Muhammad G. Kibriya⁷, Julia A. Knight¹⁰⁸, Yon-Dschun Ko¹⁰⁹, Irene Konstantopoulou⁶⁶, Veli-Matti Kosma¹¹⁰, Vessela Kristensen⁵, Ava Kwong^{111,112}, Yael Laitman⁶⁹, Diether Lambrechts¹¹³, Conxi Lazaro¹¹⁴, Eunjung Lee¹¹⁵, Loic Le Marchand¹¹⁶, Jenny Lester¹⁰⁵, Annika Lindblom¹¹⁷, Noralane Lindor¹¹⁸, Sara Lindstrom^{62,119}, Jianjun Liu¹²⁰, Jirong Long¹²¹, Jan Lubinski⁸³, Phuong L. Mai⁸², Enes Makalic⁹⁵, Kathleen E. Malone^{122,123}, Arto Mannermaa¹¹⁰, Siranoush Manoukian¹²⁴, Sara Margolin¹⁷, Frederik Marme³², John W. M. Martens⁹³, Lesley McGuffog³, Alfons Meindl¹²⁵, Austin Miller¹²⁶, Roger L. Milne⁷⁶, Penelope Miron¹²⁷, Marco Montagna⁶, Sylvie Mazoyer⁴⁶, Anna M. Mulligan^{128,129}, Taru A. Muranen^{32,90}, Katherine L. Nathanson⁵¹, Susan L. Neuhausen⁴⁹, Heli Nevanlinna¹⁰⁶, Børge G. Nordestgaard²⁸, Robert L. Nussbaum¹³⁰, Kenneth Offit¹³¹, Edith Olah¹³², Olufunmilayo I. Olopade¹³³, Janet E. Olson², Ana Osorio²², Sue K. Park¹³⁴, Petra H. Peeters^{135,136}, Bernard Peissel¹²⁴, Paolo Peterlongo¹³⁷, Julian Peto⁵³, Catherine M. Phelan⁴, Robert Pilarski¹³⁸, Bruce Poppe⁴¹, Katri Pykäs¹³⁹, Paolo Radice¹⁴⁰, Nazneen Rahman¹⁴¹, Johanna Rantala¹⁴², Christine Rappaport¹⁴³, Gad Rennert¹⁴⁴, Andrea Richardson¹⁴⁵, Mark Robson¹³¹, Isabelle Romieu¹⁴⁶, Anja Rudolph³⁸, Emiel J. Rutgers¹⁴⁷, Maria-Jose Sanchez^{148,149}, Regina M. Santella¹⁵⁰, Elinor J. Sawyer¹⁵¹, Daniel F. Schmidt⁹⁵, Marjanka K. Schmidt¹⁴⁷, Rita K. Schmutzler^{152,153,154}, Fredrick Schumacher⁸⁶, Rodney Scott¹⁵⁵, Leigha Senter¹³⁸, Priyanka Sharma¹⁵⁶, Jacques Simard¹⁵⁷, Christian F. Singer¹⁴³, Olga M. Sinilnikova^{46,158}, Penny Soucy¹⁵⁷, Melissa Southey¹⁵⁹, Doris Steinemann¹⁶⁰, Marie Stenmark-Askmal¹⁶¹, Dominique Stoppa-Lyonnet^{162,163}, Anthony Swerdlow¹⁰⁴, Csilla I. Szabo¹⁶⁴, Rulla Tamimi^{61,62,119}, William Tapper⁵⁶, Manuel R. Teixeira^{165,166}, Soo-Hwang Teo^{167,168}, Mary B. Terry¹⁶⁹, Mads Thomassen¹⁷⁰, Deborah Thompson³, Laima Tihomirova¹⁷¹, Amanda E. Toland¹⁷², Robert A. E. M. Tollenaar¹⁷³, Ian Tomlinson¹⁷⁴, Thérèse Truong⁸⁴, Helen Tsimiklis¹⁵⁹, Alex Teulé¹⁷⁵, Rosario Tumino¹⁷⁶, Nadine Tung¹⁷⁷, Clare Turnbull¹⁴¹, Giski Ursin¹⁷⁸, Carolien H. M. van Deurzen¹⁷⁹, Elizabeth J. van Rensburg⁵², Raymonda Varon-Mateeva¹⁸⁰, Zhaoming Wang¹⁸¹, Shan Wang-Gohrke¹⁸², Elisabete Weiderpass^{44,178,183,184}, Jeffrey N. Weitzel⁹¹, Alice Whittemore¹⁸⁵, Hans Wildiers¹⁸⁶, Robert Winqvist¹³⁹, Xiaohong R. Yang¹⁸⁷, Drakoulis Yannoukakos⁶⁶, Song Yao¹¹, M Pilar Zamora¹⁸⁸, Wei Zheng¹²¹, Per Hall⁴⁴, Peter Kraft^{62,119,189}, Celine Vachon², Susan Slager², Georgia Chenevix-Trench^{190,**}, Paul D.P. Pharoah^{55,**}, Alvaro A.N. Monteiro^{4,**}, Montserrat García-Closas^{191,**}, Douglas F. Easton^{3,**} & Antonis C. Antoniou^{3,**}

¹Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota 55905, USA. ²Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota 55905, USA. ³Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK. ⁴Cancer Epidemiology Program, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, Florida 33612, USA. ⁵Department of Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital, Radiumhospitalet, N-0310 Oslo, Norway. ⁶Immunology and Molecular Oncology Unit, Istituto Oncologico Veneto IOV—IRCCS, 20133 Padua, Italy. ⁷Department of Health Studies, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA. ⁸Comprehensive Cancer Center, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA. ⁹Departments of Medicine and Human Genetics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA. ¹⁰Department of Clinical Genetics, Helsinki University Central Hospital, 00029 Helsinki, Finland. ¹¹Department of Cancer Prevention and Control, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York 14263, USA. ¹²Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute of Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1X5. ¹³Departments of Molecular Genetics and Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5B 1W8. ¹⁴Department of Epidemiology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, 92697, USA. ¹⁵Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ¹⁶University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas 77030, USA. ¹⁷Department of Oncology, Karolinska University Hospital, SE-17176 Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁸Division of Cancer Prevention and Genetics, Istituto Europeo di Oncologia, 20141 Milan, Italy. ¹⁹Department of Pathology, Landspítali University Hospital and University of Iceland School of Medicine, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland. ²⁰Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG), 50670 Cologne, Germany. ²¹University Breast Center Franconia, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen-EMN, 91054 Erlangen, Germany. ²²Human Genetics Group, Human Cancer Genetics Program, Spanish National Cancer Centre (CNIO), 28029 Madrid, Spain. ²³Genotyping Unit (CeGen), Human Cancer Genetics Program, Spanish National Cancer Centre (CNIO), 28029 Madrid, Spain. ²⁴Biomedical Network on Rare Diseases (CIBERER), 28029 Madrid, Spain. ²⁵NYU Women's Cancer Program, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, USA. ²⁶Department of Oncology, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Central Hospital, FI-00029 Helsinki, Finland. ²⁷Department of Radiation Oncology, Hannover Medical School, 30625 Hannover, Germany. ²⁸Copenhagen General Population Study, Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, 2730 Herlev, Denmark. ²⁹Dr Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, 70376 Stuttgart, Germany. ³⁰University of Tübingen 72074 Tübingen, Germany. ³¹Division of Preventive Oncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ³²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ³³Department of Medicine, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City Utah 84112, USA. ³⁴Molecular Oncology Laboratory, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, IdISSC, Madrid 28040, Spain. ³⁵Section of Genetic Oncology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, University and University Hospital of Pisa, I-56126 Pisa, Italy. ³⁶Genomic Epidemiology Group, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ³⁷Australian Breast Cancer Tissue Bank, Westmead Millennium Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales 2145, Australia. ³⁸Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ³⁹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville, Maryland 20850, USA. ⁴⁰Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, Columbia University, New York, New York 10032, USA. ⁴¹Center for Medical Genetics, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium. ⁴²Sheffield Cancer Research Centre, Department of Oncology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2RX, UK. ⁴³Academic Unit of Pathology, Department of Neuroscience, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2HQ, UK. ⁴⁴Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, SE-17177 Stockholm, Sweden. ⁴⁵Department of Clinical Genetics, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19111, USA. ⁴⁶INSERM U1052, CNRS UMR5286, Université Lyon, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, 69373 Lyon, France. ⁴⁷Department of Human Genetics and Department of Pathology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden 2333 ZC, The Netherlands. ⁴⁸Oncogenetics Group, University Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO) and Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08035 Barcelona, Spain. ⁴⁹Department of Population Sciences, Beckman Research Institute of City of Hope, Duarte, California 91010, USA. ⁵⁰Cancer Bioimmunotherapy Unit, CRO Aviano National Cancer Institute, 33081 Aviano, Italy. ⁵¹Abramson Cancer Center, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania 19104, USA. ⁵²Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0007, South Africa. ⁵³Department of Non-Communicable Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, WC1E 7HT, UK. ⁵⁴Cancer Genomics Laboratory, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec and Laval University, Québec City, Québec, Canada G1V 4G2. ⁵⁵Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK. ⁵⁶Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, Hampshire SO16 6YD, UK. ⁵⁷Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala SE-751 85, Sweden. ⁵⁸Department of Clinical Genetics, Lund University Hospital, SE-22185 Lund, Sweden. ⁵⁹Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, 91054 Erlangen, Germany. ⁶⁰Comprehensive Cancer Center -EMN, 91054 Erlangen, Germany. ⁶¹Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁶²Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁶³Department of Cancer Epidemiology/Clinical Cancer Registry and Institute for Medical Biometrics and Epidemiology, University Clinic Hamburg-Eppendorf, 20246 Hamburg, Germany. ⁶⁴Division of Molecular Genetic Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ⁶⁵Center for Primary Health Care Research, Lund University, SE-221 00 Malmö, Sweden. ⁶⁶Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory, INRASTES, National Centre for Scientific Research 'Demokritos', Aghia Paraskevi Attikis, 15310 Athens, Greece. ⁶⁷Program in Cancer Genetics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 0G4. ⁶⁸University of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA. ⁶⁹Susanne Levy Gertner Oncogenetics Unit, Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer 52621, Israel. ⁷⁰Department of Epidemiology, University of, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-7400, USA. ⁷¹UCLA Schools of Medicine and Public Health, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control Research, Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, California 90095-6900, USA. ⁷²Epidemiology Research Program, American Cancer Society, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, USA. ⁷³Cancer Risk and Prevention Clinic, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA. ⁷⁴Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California 90048, USA. ⁷⁵Department of Clinical Genetics, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁷⁶Cancer Epidemiology Centre, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ⁷⁷Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, 66205, USA. ⁷⁸Department of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 2M1. ⁷⁹Division of Clinical Epidemiology, McGill University Health Centre, Royal Victoria Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H4A 3J1. ⁸⁰Department of Dermatology, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah 84132, USA. ⁸¹Human Genotyping-CEGEN Unit, Human Cancer Genetics Program, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre (CNIO), 28029 Madrid, Spain. ⁸²Clinical Genetics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland 20850-9772, USA. ⁸³Department of Genetics and Pathology, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland. ⁸⁴InsERM (National Institute of Health and Medical Research), CESP (Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health), U1018, Environmental Epidemiology of Cancer, 70-115 Villejuif, France. ⁸⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK. ⁸⁶Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, California 90033, USA. ⁸⁷Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ⁸⁸Center for Genomic Medicine, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁸⁹Department of Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland 4029, Australia. ⁹⁰Helsinki University Central Hospital, FI-00029 Helsinki, Finland. ⁹¹Clinical Cancer Genetics, for the City of Hope Clinical Cancer Genetics Community Research Network, Duarte, California 91010, USA. ⁹²Family Cancer Clinic, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam 1000 BE, The Netherlands. ⁹³Department of Medical Oncology,

Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam 3008 AE, The Netherlands. ⁹⁴ Department of Medical Oncology, Family Cancer Clinic, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam 3008 AE, The Netherlands. ⁹⁵ Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ⁹⁶ Program in Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁹⁷ N.N. Petrov Institute of Oncology, 197758 St Petersburg, Russia. ⁹⁸ Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20007, USA. ⁹⁹ Familial Cancer Centre, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria 8006, Australia. ¹⁰⁰ Department of Oncology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 8006, Australia. ¹⁰¹ State Research Institute Centre for Innovative Medicine, LT-08661 Vilnius, Lithuania. ¹⁰² Department of Clinical Genetics, Aarhus University Hospital, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark. ¹⁰³ Department of Epidemiology, Cancer Prevention Institute of California, Fremont, California 94538, USA. ¹⁰⁴ Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton SM2 5NG, UK. ¹⁰⁵ Women's Cancer Program at the Samuel Oschin Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, 90048, USA. ¹⁰⁶ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Central Hospital, FI-00029 Helsinki, Finland. ¹⁰⁷ Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Strangeways Research Laboratory, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK. ¹⁰⁸ Prosserman Centre for Health Research, Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute of Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1X5. ¹⁰⁹ Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus, 53113 Bonn, Germany. ¹¹⁰ School of Medicine, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Pathology and Forensic Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, FI-70211 Kuopio, Finland. ¹¹¹ The Hong Kong Hereditary Breast Cancer Family Registry, Cancer Genetics Center, Hong Kong Sanatorium and Hospital, Hong Kong. ¹¹² Department of Surgery, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ¹¹³ Vesalius Research Center, VIB, 3000 Leuven, Belgium. ¹¹⁴ Molecular Diagnostic Unit, Hereditary Cancer Program, IDIBELL-Catalan Institute of Oncology, 08908 Barcelona, Spain. ¹¹⁵ Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90032, USA. ¹¹⁶ Cancer Epidemiology Program, University of Cancer Center, Honolulu 96813, USA. ¹¹⁷ Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, SE-17177 Stockholm, Sweden. ¹¹⁸ Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, Arizona 85259, USA. ¹¹⁹ Program in Genetic Epidemiology and Statistical Genetics, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ¹²⁰ Human Genetics Division, Genome Institute of Singapore, Singapore 138672, Singapore. ¹²¹ Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center and Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37203, USA. ¹²² Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington 98109, USA. ¹²³ Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA. ¹²⁴ Unit of Medical Genetics, Department of Preventive and Predictive Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori (INT), 20133 Milan, Italy. ¹²⁵ Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Technical University of Munich, 81675 Munich, Germany. ¹²⁶ NRG Oncology Statistics and Data Management Center, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, New York 14263, USA. ¹²⁷ Department of Genomics and Genome Sciences, Case Western Reserve University Medical School, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, USA. ¹²⁸ Laboratory Medicine Program, University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5B 1W8. ¹²⁹ Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5B 1W8. ¹³⁰ Invitae Corporation, San Francisco, California 94107, USA. ¹³¹ Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10065, USA. ¹³² Department of Molecular Genetics, National Institute of Oncology, H-1122 Budapest, Hungary. ¹³³ Center for Clinical Cancer Genetics and Global Health, University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA. ¹³⁴ Department of Preventive Medicine and Biomedical Science, Seoul National University College of Medicine and Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University, 110-799 Seoul, Republic of Korea. ¹³⁵ Department of Epidemiology, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center, Utrecht 3508 GA, The Netherlands. ¹³⁶ MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK. ¹³⁷ IFOM, Fondazione Istituto FIRC di Oncologia Molecolare, 20133 Milan, Italy. ¹³⁸ Division of Human Genetics, Department of Internal Medicine, The Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA. ¹³⁹ Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and Tumor Biology, Department of Clinical Chemistry and Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, NordLab Oulu/Oulu University Hospital, FI-90220 Oulu, Finland. ¹⁴⁰ Unit of Molecular Bases of Genetic Risk and Genetic Testing, Department of Preventive and Predictive Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori (INT), 20133 Milan, Italy. ¹⁴¹ Section of Cancer Genetics, Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton SM2 5NG, UK. ¹⁴² Department of Clinical Genetics, Karolinska University Hospital, SE-17176 Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁴³ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, A 1090 Vienna, Austria. ¹⁴⁴ Clalit National Israeli Cancer Control Center and Department of Community Medicine and Epidemiology, Carmel Medical Center and B. Rappaport Faculty of Medicine, Haifa 34362, Israel. ¹⁴⁵ Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, 21205, USA. ¹⁴⁶ International Agency for Research on Cancer, 69008 Lyon, France. ¹⁴⁷ Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Amsterdam 1006 BE, The Netherlands. ¹⁴⁸ Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública. Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Hospitales Universitarios de Granada/Universidad de Granada, 18014 Granada, Spain. ¹⁴⁹ CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain. ¹⁵⁰ Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Columbia University, New York, New York, 10032, USA. ¹⁵¹ Research Oncology, Division of Cancer Studies, King's College London, Guy's Hospital, London SE1 9RT, UK. ¹⁵² Center for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne 50931, Germany. ¹⁵³ Center for Integrated Oncology (CIO), Medical Faculty, University Hospital Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany. ¹⁵⁴ Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), University of Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany. ¹⁵⁵ Division of Genetics, Hunter Area Pathology Service, John Hunter Hospital, Newcastle, New South Wales 2305, Australia. ¹⁵⁶ Department of Hematology and Oncology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas 66205, USA. ¹⁵⁷ Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec Research Center, Laval University, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada G1V 4G2. ¹⁵⁸ Unité Mixte de Génétique Constitutionnelle des Cancers Fréquents, Hospices Civils de Lyon—Centre Léon Bérard, 69373 Lyon, France. ¹⁵⁹ Department of Pathology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ¹⁶⁰ Hannover Medical School, 30625 Hannover, Germany. ¹⁶¹ Division of Clinical Genetics, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Linköping University, SE-58185 Linköping, Sweden. ¹⁶² Institut Curie, Department of Tumour Biology, 75248 Paris, France. ¹⁶³ Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 75248 Paris, France. ¹⁶⁴ National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-2152, USA. ¹⁶⁵ Department of Genetics, Portuguese Oncology Institute, Porto, 4200-072, Portugal. ¹⁶⁶ Biomedical Sciences Institute (ICBAS), Porto University, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal. ¹⁶⁷ Cancer Research Initiatives Foundation, Sime Darby Medical Centre, Subang Jaya 47500, Malaysia. ¹⁶⁸ University Malaya Cancer Research Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University Malaya Medical Centre, University Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia. ¹⁶⁹ Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York, 10032, USA. ¹⁷⁰ Department of Clinical Genetics, Odense University Hospital, 5000 Odense C, Denmark. ¹⁷¹ Latvian Biomedical Research and Study Centre, LV-1067 Riga, Latvia. ¹⁷² Department of Molecular Virology, Immunology and Medical Genetics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 43210, USA. ¹⁷³ Department of Surgical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden 2333 ZC, The Netherlands. ¹⁷⁴ Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics and Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK. ¹⁷⁵ Genetic Counseling Unit, Hereditary Cancer Program, IDIBELL-Catalan Institute of Oncology, 08908 Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁷⁶ Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, 'Civic—M.P. Arezzo' Hospital, 97100 ASP Ragusa, Italy. ¹⁷⁷ Department of Medical Oncology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, 02215, USA. ¹⁷⁸ Cancer Registry of Norway, Institute of Population-Based Cancer Research, N-0304 Oslo, Norway. ¹⁷⁹ Department of Pathology, Family Cancer Clinic, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam 3000 CA, The Netherlands. ¹⁸⁰ Institute of Human Genetics, Charité Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany. ¹⁸¹ Cancer Genomics Research Laboratory, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877, USA. ¹⁸² University Hospital Ulm, 89075 Ulm, Germany. ¹⁸³ Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø—The Arctic University of Norway, 9037 Tromsø, Norway. ¹⁸⁴ Genetic Epidemiology Group, Folkhälsan Research Center, 2016 Helsinki, Finland. ¹⁸⁵ Department of Health Research and

Policy—Epidemiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, USA. ¹⁸⁶ Multidisciplinary Breast Center, Department of General Medical Oncology, University Hospitals, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium. ¹⁸⁷ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA. ¹⁸⁸ Servicio de Oncología Médica, Hospital Universitario La Paz, 28046 Madrid, Spain. ¹⁸⁹ Department of Biostatistics, Harvard School Of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ¹⁹⁰ Cancer Division, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland 4029, Australia. ¹⁹¹ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland 20850, USA.

* These authors contributed equally to this work. ** These authors jointly supervised this work.